

Statement of Representations - April 2012 - Parking Provision for Residential Developments Supplementary Planning Document

	Comments	Respondent	GBC Response
Yes	Ravenshead Parish Council fully supports the document and looks forward to being updated on developments.	Mrs Y Jones, Ravenshead Parish Council	Noted
Comment	The SPD does not sufficiently differentiate between types of housing, focusing only on type of dwelling proposed (house or flat), size of dwelling and location of dwelling. This is too simplistic as it does not consider the different levels of car ownership for other, more specialist, forms of housing and as such does not provide appropriate parking requirements. For many residents the decision to move into sheltered housing often represents a lifestyle change, which may include the decision to give up the car. As such, car ownership levels for this type of housing are significantly lower than in open market housing and correspondingly a lower level of parking provision is required. Request that GBC provide a bespoke parking requirement for sheltered housing that more accurately reflects the needs of its residents. Specialised housing for the elderly is invariably located within reasonable walking distance of shops and other essential services, close to public transport facilities and is supplemented by the provision of an internal battery car store, suitable for the intended residents, and providing access to alternative forms of transport.	McCarthy & Stone, Retirement Lifestyles Ltd.	The SPD applies to parking provision for new residential development. Specialist forms of housing would fall under Class C2 (residential institutions) and do not fall within the scope of this SPD.
Comment	No comment to make due to the specific nature of this document.	Natural England	Noted

No	You have failed to take into account that due to the economic situation many young people can not afford to leave home and get a place of there own. So many houses with grown up children have a car for each of the children plus the adults so you probably need three or four spaces. Also you have failed to provide adequate parking at Hucknall Tram station now, when all the new houses are occupied it will be bedlam there, you need to get your figure out now and provide more spaces.	Resident	The SPD is an evidence based document based on current available census data. As explained in section 3 of the SPD, the TEMPRO model has been used to predict future levels of car ownership, taking into account population forecasts, household formation, employment factors and long term changes in the economic climate in order to forecast future levels of car ownership. Hucknall Tram station falls within the Ashfield District Council area and not within Gedling Borough.
Yes, with reservations	Diversion works on our electrical distribution network may be required.	Western Power Distribution	Noted
Comment	No comment to make due to the specific nature of this document.	Sport England	Noted
Comment	No comment to make.	Bestwood St Albans Parish Council	Noted
Comment	No comment to make due to the specific nature of this document.	Theatres Trust	Noted
Comment	No comment to make apart from to welcome the reference to this historic environment in paragraph 5.7.	English Heritage	Noted
Comment	The Highway Authority is satisfied with the contents and methodology of the document. With regards to contacts for correspondence, the appropriate people are likely to be Mr Chris Charnley (Head of Service for Highway Management) and Mr Eamonn Harrison (Highway Manager South)	Nottinghamshire County Council South Area Office	Noted - appendix A of the SPD will be amended accordingly

Comment	It would be useful if the document clarified the position regarding non-residential car parking standards, perhaps in favour of the standards used by the County.	Development Control, Gedling Borough Council	Accept - the SPD will be amended by adding a new paragraph to Section 6 (Conclusions) to read 'For non-residential uses, the appropriate maximum parking standards at the time of writing are those set out in the 6C's Design Guide which cross refers to Part 4 of the document 'Highway Requirements for Development' (HRfD) (a Leicestershire County Council design standard) http://www.leics.gov.uk/index/htd/highway_req_development_archive.htm
Comment	It would be useful if the document set out standards for 0 allocated spaces (for 3 bedrooms) and 0 and 1 allocated spaces for 4+ bedrooms, within table 4.2.	Development Control, Gedling Borough Council	Accept - the SPD will be amended by adding appropriate references to table 4.2.
Comment	It would be useful if the document clarified the position with regards to extensions.	Development Control, Gedling Borough Council	Accept - additional text will be added after paragraph 4.4 to clarify that the SPD should apply to extensions where practicable.

